

Mickleove	Mickleover Primary School – Pupil Premium Review 2016–17						
Headteacher's name:	Lynne Gerver	Signature:					
PP Champion's name:							
Chair of Governors' name:	Julia Roberts Shelley Davidson (PP)	Signature:					
Reviewers' names:	Jane Green & Debbie Beeston	Signature:					
Date of pupil premium review:	10/7/17	Next review date: July 2018					

Pupil Premium Profile (2016-17)				
Number of eligible pupils:	36 PP (406 total) 9%			
Amount per pupil:	£1,320 for pupils in reception year to year 6 £1,900 for each pupil identified in the spring <u>school census</u> as having left local-authority care because of 1 of the following: adoption, special guardianship order, child arrangements order or a residence order			
Total pupil premium budget:	£48,100			

Discussions with: Headteacher and Assistant head - PP	Scrutiny of most recent (unvalidated) end	Scrutiny of PP Strategy for 16/17
champion	of key stage data for; EYFS, phonics, KS1	
	& KS2	
Scrutiny of recent Inspection dashboard	Look at most recent Ofsted report	Look at school website
Discussion with Y4, 5 & Y6 PP pupils	Discussion with chair of govs.	Discussion with EYFS lead
Discussion with English and Maths Lead		



In 2016-17 the school planned to use the P	n 2016-17 the school planned to use the PPG funding in the following ways' [see additional impact on pupil outcomes below]					
1:1 tuition in maths for PP pupils	Half termly writing conferences between class teacher & individual PP pupils	SEN support for PP pupils				
IMPACT - booster sessions - v successful in KS2 other than SEN pupils 1:1 TA or teacher (in assembly) after school small teacher intervention groups Not all got TAs maths in workforce - eg rolls Royce Standards at end of KS1 and 2 are detailed below	 Impact - English lead feels this have been very successful in improving confidence levels in pupils, chd now applying their learning in 1:1 and small group sessions in their writing. English lead feels the profile of PP chd. has been raised across all year groups. Standards at end of KS1 and 2 writing are detailed below. 	Impact - EYFS - SpCL support by TAs Of the 2 PP SEND children who were at MPS throughout KS2, they made at least expected progress from their starting points at the end of KS1 (2 additional SEND PP joined late in Y6 (Feb 17 and May 17)				
Literacy intervention for PP pupils – Y5 & 6 – reading and grammar	Contribution to inclusion manager's cost (nurture group)	Contribution towards after school clubs/ holiday clubs & music lessons				
Impact - small group and 1:1 interventions have been provided for all PP chd needing to make accelerated progress EYFS - specific language support for SpCL difficulties & small group interventions in phonics & maths -100% GLD & 1 pupil exceeding Standards at end of KS1 and KS2 are detailed below	Impact - behaviour - attachment - treat in autistic way - work stations/ visual timetables & work with teachers Y2 LAC pupil - ran out of class in sept & now achieved EXS, Nurture at lunch - keeps chd calm, social skills taught - 1- 1:20 - preparation for learning meet n greet - 5 boys in morning - prepare for learning - 1 still struggles 50 % of time spent with parent - need as much support as chn - attend appts with Mums with mental health - EHAs	Impact – PP chd have received reduced access to a range of extra-curricular and curricular enrichment activities including trips, residentials and musical tuition. Children speak very positively of their experiences.				
CPD for staff	LAC training for TA with responsibility for inclusion	Contribution to cost of inclusion TA to run breakfast club & support lunchtime nurture				
Impact - quality of teaching is at least good in all school years. All teachers have visited alternative provision for $\frac{1}{2}$ day visit with a focus on EAL and PP provision.	Impact – TA more confident and confident in supporting LAC chd – Y2 LAC child achieved at least expected standard in all areas, with greater depth in reading	Impact - PP chd. who have accessed the nurture type support before school and at lunchtime are more settled and more ready to learn or return to learning.				
Workplace Visits						
Impact – children have visited a range of places of work and learned about a number of careers which has helped them develop aspirations for their own futures						



Impact of Spending on attainment - July 17 data Y6					
	Attainment of Pupils el	igible for PP (our school)	Pupils not eligible fo	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)	
Number of Y6 PP pupils in 2016-17 cohort = 10/53 19%	2016	2017 (unvalidated)	2016	2017 (unvalidated)	
% achieving EXPECTED in reading, writing and maths r w senx2	29%	55%	60%	67%	
% achieving EXPECTED in reading	29%	64%	72%	77%	
% achieving EXPECTED in writing	29%	64%	79%	81%	
% achieving EXPECTED in EGPS	43%	73%	78%	82%	
% achieving EXPECTED in maths	57%	73%	76%	80%	
% achieving AT GREATER DEPTH in reading, writing and maths	0%	9%	7%	11%	
% achieving AT GREATER DEPTH in reading	14%	27%	23%	29%	
% achieving AT GREATER DEPTH in writing	0%	18%	18%	21%	
% achieving AT GREATER DEPTH in EGPS	0%	36%	27%	35%	
% achieving AT GREATER DEPTH in maths	0%	45%	20%	27%	
Progress score in reading	+ 0.8	Not available yet	0.33	Not available yet	
Progress score in writing	- 7.1		0.12		
Progress score in maths	+ 0.6		0.24		
Attainment - July 2017 -Y2					
Number of Y2 PP pupils in 2015-16 cohort =4/59 7%	Pupils eligible	for PP (our school)	Pupils not eligible for P	P (national average)	
Number of Y2 PP pupils in 2016-17 cohort =5/60 8%	2016	2017	2016	2017	
% achieving EXPECTED in reading	50%	100%	78%	79%	
% achieving EXPECTED in writing	50%	100%	70%	72%	
% achieving EXPECTED in maths	50%	100%	77%	78%	



% achieving AT GREATER DEPTH in reading	0%	40%	27%	Not available
% achieving AT GREATER DEPTH in writing	0%	20%	15%	
% achieving AT GREATER DEPTH in maths	0%	0%	20%	
Attainment - July 2016 -Phonics				
Y1 PP pupils in 2015-16 = 2/59 3%	Pupils eligible	for PP (our school)	Pupils not eligible for F	PP (national average)
Y1 PP pupils in 2016-17 = 5/60 8%	2016	2017	2016	2017
% achieving EXPECTED in Y1 phonics	100%	83%	83%	82%
% achieving EXPECTED in Y2 phonics (cumulative)	100%	100%	93%	Not available
Attainment - July 2016 -EYFSP				
Number of FS2 PP pupils in 2015-16 cohort = 10/39 26%	Pupils eligible	for PP (our school)	Pupils not eligible for PP (national average)	
Number of FS2 PP pupils in 2016-17 cohort = 3/59 5%	2016	2017	2016	2017
% achieving EXPECTED GLD	40%	100%	72%	Not available
% achieving EXPECTED in reading	60%	100%	80%	
% achieving EXPECTED in writing	60%	100%	75%	
% achieving EXPECTED in maths	40%	100%	80%	
Attendance for 2015-16 & 2016-17	15/16	16/17	15/16 All pupils nationally	16/17 All pupils nationally
Absence % for PP pupils	4.4%	3.0%	3.9%	Not available
Persistent absence % for PP pupils	13.3%	2%	8.8%	



Barriers Identified for Pupil Premium Children 2016/17 with Impact Details

Barrier identified	Desired outcome identified	Outcomes achieved – lessons learnt
Emotional issues for a proportion of pupils is having a detrimental effect on progress and that of their peers	PP pupils make progress at least in line with National Others Less incidents of poor behaviour	2 X LAC - 1 GDS in R & EXS W & M (Y2 results) - now in classroom & previously unable to cope Case study LAC Child new to school in Sept 16 – working below expected level & unable to access classroom environment. Lot of work done through breakfast & lunchtime clubs plus one to one individual work on coping strategies and acceptable behaviours for school. End of year, achieved expected in writing & maths and greater depth in reading.
PP pupil lower attainment & progress than Non PP peers nationally	PP pupils' make accelerated progress to ensure they achieve at least 70% EXS in combined	 *KS2 – significant improvements in PP pupils' outcomes from 2016, 55% R, W, M combined. This is still, however, below national combined for all other pupils (67%) and will remain a focus for 17-18. 9% greater depth R, W, M combined is broadly in line with national at 11%. Maths at greater depth (46%) is significantly above national average for maths for all other pupils (27%). Progress for PP not currently available (Sept 17) *KS1 – significant improvements in PP pupils' outcomes from 2016 –pupils achieving better than Non PP nationally (2017 data) in EXS & GDS with the exception of GDS maths. *Phonics – 20% drop on last year - only 5 pupils and 1 PP did not pass, Y2 PP 100% pass rate in Y1 2016 *EYFS – 100% in GLD – 3 PP pupils - above national for non PP pupils
Low ambition	Children talk about the future with enthusiasm and are motivated to achieve Children talk about their targets & the next steps they need to take	 Many opportunities to attend 'World of Work venues Toyota - STEM type activities – GDS & PP pupils attended Money week – careers & aspirations – money aware coding club Rolls Royce design challenge – school won this & apprentices visit school to see Y6 People who help us – fire fighters Raising standard of the expectation for PP chn All pupils have high expectations of themselves and are ambitious for their futures



Persistent absence	No of persistent absentees reduces Attendance reaches 97% for PP PA rate for PP chd. below national all.	*School data shows for 16/17 PP attendance is 97% (absence 3%, which is 0.9% below/better than national for 2015-16 – *PP Persistent absence for 16-17 not available currently
External pressures on parents - need for support & nurture	PP children make at least expected progress from their prior starting point	Pastoral staff provide support Inclusion manager attends appointments with parents to ensure they get appropriate and timely support from other agencies. Support given with parenting. Progress of Y6 pupil premium; FFT indicates progress of this group to be +2% above national at expected and +6% above national at a higher level. At Y2, all PP children achieved at least expected in end of year outcomes. All PP made at least expected progress from EYFS.



	Mickleover Primary School - Pupil Premium Action Plan 2017-18								
Barr	Barriers to future attainment at our school (for pupils eligible for PP, including high ability)								
Acad	Academic Year 2017-18 Total PP Budget £35 640 Date of most recent July 17 PP review P								
Tota	Total No. of Pupils420 (Sept 17)No. of pupilsfor PP		No. of pupils eligible for PP	35	Date for next PP strategy review	July 18			
А	A range of social	and emotional issues	s including for parents which	are having a de	etrimental effect on progress rat	es			
В	Pupils making slower rates of progress than Non Pupil Premium peers nationally (in partic maths & reading)								
С	C Pupils have little ambition and aspirations for themselves								
D	Persistent absen	се							

Des	Desired outcomes in relation to each barrier				
Des	ired outcome	Approach chosen to achieve and reason for selection			
A	All the children's and parents' social and emotional issues are known and understood. Support is in place from school and other agencies to help manage these and remove or eradicate impact on children's progress rates	Provision of an inclusion manager and inclusion TA to provide pastoral support – this approach chosen due to www. Gov.uk/publications/the-pupil-premium-how-schools-are-spending-the funding-successfully. Education Endowment Trust Toolkit			
В	Pupils make accelerated progress which enables them to achieve at least as well as Non Pupil Premium peers nationally (in partic maths & read)	Provision of 1:1 and small group interventions – this approach chosen due to www. Gov.uk/publications/the-pupil-premium-how-schools- are-spending- the funding-successfully. Education Endowment Trust Toolkit			
С	Children talk about their future with enthusiasm and demonstrate aspirations for their own successes. Children talk about their targets & the next steps they need to take	Child take part in a range of initiatives, events and visits designed to inspire and motivate them to believe they can achieve whatever they choose – this approach chosen due to - www. Gov.uk/publications/the-pupil-premium- how-schools-are-spending-the funding-successfully. Education Endowment Trust Toolkit			
D	Number of chd with attendance below 90% is significantly reduced and persistent absenteeism is well below national all pupils. Attendance reaches 97+% for PP	Attendance Management systems continue to be applied. Deployment of administrative and pastoral staff to support families to improve attendance and eradicate persistent absenteeism this approach chosen due to the-pupil-premium-how-schools-are-spending-the funding-successfully. Proven effective in 16/17			



Planned expenditu	Planned expenditure in 17/18 to address barriers -						
Barrier to remove	Desired outcome	Actions needed to achieve	Monitoring steps	Staff lead	Cost	Review date	
A range of social and emotional issues including for parents which are having a detrimental effect on progress rates	All the children's and parents' social and emotional issues are known and understood. Support is in place from school and other agencies to help manage these and remove or eradicate impact on children's progress rates	Support from Inclusion Manager & TA for individual children and families Nurture type sessions before school and at lunch break to ensure PP chd who need support are ready to learn. Signposting to other services as appropriate Completion of EHPIan as required	Meeting with inclusion manager & TA and PP governor to discuss anonymized impact of support provided each term.	Inclusion manager	£22 840	July 18	
Pupils making slower rates of progress than Non Pupil Premium peers nationally (in partic maths & read)	Pupils make accelerated progress which enables them to achieve at least as well as Non Pupil Premium peers nationally (in partic maths & read)	PP chd receive quality first teaching daily Interventions are provided to address any gaps in knowledge and deal with misconceptions Writing conferences	PP only booktrawls every term show accelerated progress made by pupils. Termly assessment outcomes show accelerated progress – shared with PP governor and FGB	HT / AHTs	£11 030	Termly	
Pupils have low ambition and aspirations for themselves	Children talk about their future with enthusiasm and demonstrate aspirations for their own successes. Children talk about their targets & the next steps they need to take	Continuing provision of a range of initiatives, visits and visitors to raise children's awareness of a range of areas of possible employment and further training post 16. Interviews with chd by PP Champion and PP Governor to assess impact and talk to chd about targets and future aspirations and plans.	Governor monitoring of visits and events fed back to FGB termly Questionnaire to PP pupils to obtain impact on children	AHTs	£1 770	July 18	



Persistent absence	Number of chd with	Headteacher and Attendance	Attendance report to PP	HT /	Termly
	attendance below 90%	staff closely monitor and support	Governor every half term	Inclusion	
	is significantly reduced	all children at risk of falling below	to ensure all PP chd	ТА	
	and persistent	90% attendance.	remain above 90%		
	absenteeism is well		Copies of letters to parents		
	below national all pupils.		of any <90% chd to PP		
	Attendance reaches		governor.		
	97+% for PP				



FOR REVIEW 2018 - IMPACT ON BARRIERS of PPG SPENDING FOR 2017-18

Barrier identified	Desired outcome identified	Outcomes achieved – lessons learnt
A range of social and emotional	All the children's and parents'	
issues including for parents which	social and emotional issues are	
are having a detrimental effect	known and understood. Support	
on progress rates	is in place from school and other	
	agencies to help manage these	
	and remove or eradicate impact	
	on children's progress rates	
Pupils making slower rates of	Pupils make accelerated progress	
progress than Non Pupil Premium	which enables them to achieve at	
peers nationally in partic maths &	least as well as Non Pupil	
read	Premium peers nationally in	
	partic maths & read	
Pupils have little ambition and	Children talk about their future	
aspirations for themselves	with enthusiasm and	
	demonstrate aspirations for	
	their own successes.	
	Children talk about their targets	
	& the next steps they need to	
	take	
Persistent absence	Number of chd with attendance	
	below 90% is significantly	
	reduced and persistent	
	absenteeism is well below	
	national all pupils.	
	Attendance reaches 97+% for PP	

